UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2008 AUG 27 PM 4: 22

то	U. S. D.	ISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Brooks OF THER US DISTRICT COURT Brooks OF THER US DISTRICT COURT
FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/22/2008 DEPUTY		
CASE NO.: 08cv0896 JLS (RBB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff		
CASE TITLE: Medina v. Hueso, et al		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion for Initial Discovery		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
	7.1	Missing table of contents
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
		Supplemental documents require court order
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X		OTHER: Unless filing is ordered by the court, no discovery (ie: depositions, interrogatories and requests for admissions) are filed in the district court.
		Date forwarded: 8/25/2008
		ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
A	The docum	ent is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
	copy of thi	tent is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a sorder on all parties.
	Rejected de	ocument to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
	Counsel is to Local R	advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant ule 83.1 CHAMBERS OF:
	Dated: 8	by: Mr. Profi